



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/800,323	03/06/2001	Shigeru Hayata	85A 3050 3000		
7	590 07/21/2003				
KODA & AN	IDROLIA	EXAMINER			
Suite 3850 2029 Century I		PHAM, HOA Q			
Los Angeles, C	CA 90067-3024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/800,323		HAYATA ET AL.	(\			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
•		Hoa Q. Pham		2877				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_L	r sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire , cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) □		— · iis action is non-fi	nal.					
3)	, 							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-12 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.					
Applicati	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)🛛 ີ	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 2001</u> is/are: a							
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			, ,				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)(a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 09/800,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Per telephone conversation between examiner George Koch and William L. Androlia on 1/7/2002 and the applicant's letter dated 1/17/02, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-6 and 13. Claims 7-12 and 14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142 (b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

ř

Art Unit: 2877

١

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe (5,803,341) in view of Bartschat (4,980,971).

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Abe discloses a bonding apparatus comprising a position detecting imaging device (5), a tool (16) in which the position is measured, a light source (6,9) disposed at a specific location for projecting reference pattern (light beams) toward the tool (16) (see figure 1). Abe does not explicitly teach the step of measuring a position of the position detecting imaging device; however, such a feature is known in the art as taught by Bartschat. Bartschart discloses an operation control device that determines an amount of offset between the position image device and the tool based on a measure value that is obtained when the position of the tool on the reference pattern is measured and a measured value that is obtained when the position of the detection imaging device is measured (column 4 lines 3-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in Abe a step of determining the position of the position detecting imaging device as taught by Bartschat if one would like to determine the amount of offset between the tool and the imaging device.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe and Bartschat et al as applied to claim 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Hong et al (6,187,121).

Application/Control Number: 09/800,323 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

þ

Regarding claim 2, Hong discloses the use of a light source and a reference member (66) in order to calibrate and correct the bonding operation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include in Abe and Bartschat et al a light source and reference member for the purpose of determining the position of the imaging device. Thus, an accuracy of the measurement is obtained.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the cited are does not teach or suggest the last two steps of claim 3, "said step of projecting ... said reference member" and "said step of determining ... said position detection imaging device".
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Following references relate to bonding method and apparatus: Takahashi et al (6,542,783), Biggs et al (5,702,049), Tomigashi et al (5,541,834), Enokido et al (6,467,673 and US2001/0016062), Hayata et al (6,464,126, US2001/0011669, US2003/0030821), Kyomasu et al (6,449,516).

Application/Control Number: 09/800,323
Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP July 11, 2003